

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/721,610	11/24/2000	Mohamed Khaled Mohamed El Hatw		1640
7:	590 08/15/2003			
	aled Mohamed El Hatv	EXAMINER		
52 Tayaran Street - Nasr City Cairo,			SZMAL, BRIAN SCOTT	
EGYPT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3736 DATE MAILED: 08/15/2003	12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	09/721,610	EL HATW, MOHAMED KHALED MOHAMED			
·	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAII INC DATE of this communication communication	Brian Szmal	3736			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	lears on the cover sheet \	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of the vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed sirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	luna 2002				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 J</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.				
		atters, prosecution as to the morits is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ⊠ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority document					
2. Certified copies of the priority document					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorapplication from the International Bu* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest					
Attachment(s)	_				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
.S. Patent and Trademark Office		D- 4-6 DNo. 40			

Application/Control Number: 09/721,610 Page 2

Art Unit: 3736

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Egypt on November 22, 1999. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the Egyptian application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Claim Objections

- Claims 2 and 3 are objected to because of the following informalities: The use of "The previously mentioned probe is" in line 1 of the claims should be removed.
 Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. Claims 2 and 3 are objected to because of the following informalities: The use of "&" in line 10 in Claim 2 and in line 11 in Claim 3 should be replaced with "and".

 Appropriate correction is required.
- 4. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: "an electrical source" in line 12 of the claim should read as "the electrical source is". Appropriate correction is required.
- 5. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: "an electrical source" in line 12 should read as "the electrical source is". Appropriate correction is required.
- 6. Claims 1-3 are objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "that is" in line 1 of the claims should be removed. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3736

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

8. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The use of multiple wires in the claims cause the claims to be indefinite because it is unclear if the wire is being used multiple times for creating an electrical circuit or if there are two separate wires for creating the electrical circuit to measure the impedance or resistance. Since one wire cannot be used to create a closed circuit the Examiner assumes that there are two separate wires. Furthermore, two separate wires are used in Figure 1.

Regarding Claim 1:

"a wire" in line 10 should read as "a first wire" to overcome the rejection.

"a wire" in line 17 should read as "a second wire" to overcome the rejection.

Regarding Claim 2:

"a wire" in line 9 should read as "a first wire" to overcome the rejection.

"a wire" in line 17 should read as "a second wire" to overcome the rejection.

Regarding Claim 3:

"a wire" in line 10 should read as "a first wire" to overcome the rejection.

"a wire" in line 13 should read as "a second wire" to overcome the rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/721,610

Art Unit: 3736

"the other wire" in line 15 should read as "said first wire" to overcome the rejection.

9. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the electrical source" in line 11. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

The phrase should be changed to "an electrical source" to overcome the rejection.

10. Claim 1-3 recite the limitation "the cutting grooved biopsy needle" in line 24 in Claim 1, in line 24 in Claim 2, and in line 18 in Claim 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

The phrase should be changed to "a grooved biopsy needle" to overcome the rejection.

11. Claim 3 recites the limitation "the electrical impedance monitor" in line 12. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

The phrase should be changed to "an electrical impedance monitor" to overcome the rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

12. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 09/721,610 Page 5

Art Unit: 3736

13. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Stoianovici et

al.

Stoianovici et al disclose a surgical needle probe for electrical impedance measurements and further disclose a sensor at the tip to detect the electrical impedance of the target tissue; a wire running inside the body of the probe with one of the terminals at the distal end of the probe and the other terminal connected to the impedance monitor; a wire connecting the impedance monitor to the body of the probe; and the probe is replaced by a biopsy instrument to obtain a biopsy of the target tissue. See Column 3, lines 1-63; and Column 6, lines 28-42.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 15. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Papa in view of Urban et al.

Papa discloses the use of a diagnosing sensor device for detecting lesions in body tissues and further discloses a first wire inside the body of the probe connected to the electrical source; the electrical source is located at the handle of the probe or outside the probe; an ammeter; and a second wire connected to the electrical source and the other end terminating near the end of the first wire; and the tissue condition is monitored

Application/Control Number: 09/721,610

Art Unit: 3736

by monitoring the electrical resistance of the tissue. See Column 2, lines 52-68; Column

Page 6

3, lines 1-23; and Column 4, lines 8-10.

Papa however fails to disclose the use of a hollow metal cylindrical sheath for

introducing the probe into the tissue.

Urban et al disclose the use of a percutaneous introducer and further discloses the use

of a hollow metal cylindrical sheath for introducing the probe into the tissue. See

Column 7, lines 33-45; and Figures 9 and 12.

Since both Papa and Urban et al disclose means for affecting a biopsy, it would have

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

modify the device of Papa to include the use of a trocar, as per the teachings of Urban

et al, since it is well known in the art to use a trocar or introducer sheath to access the

target tissue when using some devices during a biopsy.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Brian Szmal whose telephone number is (703) 308-

3737 and the central fax number is (703) 872-9306. The examiner can normally be

reached on Monday-Friday, with second Fridays off.

BS

August 5, 2003

MAX F. HINDENBURG

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700